PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

Clift Infill Project

An Amendment to the Northwest Community Master Plan and a Zoning Map Amendment Petitions PLNPCM2012-00697 PLNPCM2013-00057 January 8, 2014



Planning Division Department of Community and Economic Development

Applicant: Dave Robinson and Gabe Epperson

<u>Staff:</u> John Anderson, (801) 535-7214 john.anderson@slcgov.com

Tax ID: 08-34-230-026

<u>Current Zone</u>: R-1/7000 Single Family Residential District

Master Plan Designation: Low Density Residential

<u>Council District</u>: District 1, Represented by James Rogers

Community Council: Fairpark

Lot Size: 1.07 acres

Current Use: Vacant

Applicable Land Use Regulations: - Section 21A.50.050 Standards for General Amendments

Section 21A.24.060 R-1/7000
 Single Family Residential District
 Section 21A.24.100 SR-3 Special
 Development Pattern Residential

Attachments:

- A. Site Plan & Elevation Drawings.
- B. Photographs
- C. Department Comments
- D. Public Input
- E. Additional Applicant Information

REQUEST

On October 2, 2012, Mr. Dave Robinson and Mr. Gabe Epperson, submitted petitions for the following amendments:

- 1) To modify the Northwest Community Future Land Use Map from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential.
- To modify the Salt Lake City Zoning Map to change the zoning on a parcel located at 552 North 1500 West from R-1/7000 Single Family Residential to SR-3 Special Development Pattern Residential.

The applicant's stated purpose for the request is to develop the property as a subdivision with single-family detached dwellings on small lots.

Recommendation

Based on the findings listed in the staff report, it is the Planning Staff's opinion that overall the project does not meet the applicable standards and therefore, recommends the Planning Commission transmit a negative recommendation to the City Council relating to this request.

Recommended Motion: Based on the findings listed in the staff report, testimony and plans presented, I move that the Planning Commission transmit a negative recommendation to the City Council relating to this request to amend the Salt Lake City Zoning Map from the R-1/7000 Single Family Residential zoning district to the SR-3 Special Development Patter Residential zoning district and not to amend the Northwest Community Future Land Use Map from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential on a property located at 552 North 1500 West.

Vicinity Map



Northwest Community Future Land Use Map



Background

Description of Surrounding Area

The area where the subject property is located is designated as Low Density Residential by the Northwest Community Master Plan. Generally, nNeighboring parcels are large, narrow lots that extend north from 500 North. The rear yards of these lots are the only developable property in the general vicinity and one of the few in the Fairpark community as a whole. The average lot size there is approximately .50 acre which is an unusual development pattern in Salt Lake City. To the north of the property, directly eastwest of the existing Backman Elementary, is a small development of duplexes. This property is located in the R-2 Two Family Residential District. This zoning district allows single-family dwellings as well as two family dwellings but because a 5,000 square foot lot is required for a single-family dwelling and an 8,000 square foot lot is required to construct a duplex, the zoning district is considered to be low density residential.

Project Description

The applicants, Mr. Dave Robinson and Mr. Gabe Epperson, are proposing to amend both the Northwest Community Future Land Use Map and the Salt Lake City Zoning Map on a parcel located at 552 North 1500 West. The proposed purposes of the amendments are to enable the applicants to develop a small lot single-

family residential neighborhood on the 1.07 acre parcel of property. The proposed design would extend 1500 West, south into the property and a new intersecting street would be constructed making connections to vacant parcels to the east and the west. The majority of the lots would have alley access in the rear and there is some open space proposed throughout the proposed development.

A site plan has been provided by the applicants. The site plan would not be approved as part of this process. If the request is approved, the site plan would be subject to complying with all applicable regulations and processes. The applicants have proposed site plan includes 12 lots on the parcel site which equates to 11.22 units per acre. Seven7 of these lots would have frontage on either of the two proposed private streets. 4 of the lots would not have frontage on a street but would be alley access only and face onto a small strip of open space. 2 lots are proposed at 2,800 square feet and the remainders of the lots are proposed at slightly under the required 2,000 square feet of lot space. This design could only be approved as a Planned Development as frontage on a street is a requirement of the Zoning Ordinance. As proposed the applicants have stated that their intention is to construct only single-family detached dwellings on the property.

This specific petition as proposed would:

- Modify the Northwest Community Future Land Use Map on the parcel in question located at 552 North 1500 West from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential.
- Modify the Salt Lake City Zoning Map to change the zoning on the parcel in question located at 552 North 1500 West from R-1\7000 Single Family Residential District to SR-3 Special Development Pattern Residential.

Standard In regards to the zoning map amendment, the first factor to be considered listed in"1" of Section 21A.50.050 of the Zoning Ordinance requires the Planning Commission and City Council to consider whether a zoning map amendment is consistent with the purposes, goals, objectives and policies of the various City planning documents. In this case, the proposed zoning map amendment is not consistent with the Northwest Community Master Plan. Therefore, the City Council and Planning Commission are being asked to determine whether or not a policy change from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential on the site is appropriate.

Public Notice, Meetings and Comments

The following is a list of public meetings that have been held related to the proposed project:

- Open House held on February 21, 2013. Comments and notes can be found in attachment D.
- Community Council Meetings held on February 28, 2013 and October 24, 2013. An additional meeting was held due to significant changes in the boundaries of the proposal. Comments and notes can be found in attachment D.

Staff attended an open house and two community council meetings regarding this proposed project. The applicants were also in attendance and described their proposed project. The project was initially proposed over a much larger area including multiple parcels but has since decreased in size to include only a single parcel of property.

The reaction to the proposed amendments and to their proposed development was generally negative in nature. The community raised concerns regarding the increased density on the property, though not necessarily negative towards general development of the property. Many in the final community council meeting requested that the applicants utilize the existing R-1/7000 zoning standards when developing the property. The comments were not universally negative but the Fairpark Community Council held a vote and the majority was in opposition of the proposed amendments.

Notice of the public hearing for the proposal includes:

- Public hearing notice posted in newspaper on December 28, 2013.
- Public hearing notice mailed on December 30, 2013.
- Public hearing notice posted on property on December 30, 2013.
- Public hearing notice posted on City and State websites on December 30, 2013.
- Public hearing notice emailed to the Planning Division listserve on December 30, 2013.

City Department Comments

The comments received from pertinent City Departments / Divisions are attached to this staff report in Attachment C. The Planning Division has not received comments from the applicable City Departments / Divisions that cannot reasonably be fulfilled or that warrant denial of the petition.

Analysis and Findings

There are no specific standards in the Salt Lake City Zoning Ordinance for Master Plan Amendments. Staff has analyzed the existing master planning documents that are specific to the community as well as some that are focused city wide.

Northwest Community Master Plan and Future Land Use Map

The Northwest Community Master Plan was adopted in 1980 and was updated in 1992. The update focused mainly on specific areas of concern in the community such as the airport and the state office complex along North Temple Street. As these documents have not been recently adopted or updated, changes in the community and in city policies since that time should be taken into consideration.

During the 1995 Zoning Code Update that established the zoning districts seen today throughout the city, the existing zoning map generally became the Northwest Community Future Land Use Map. In the master plan low, medium and high densities are defined. Low density is defined as 2 to 8 units per acre, medium as 10 to 20 units per acre and high density as over 20 units per acre. The master plan states that since major density changes are not anticipated, the land use map generally reflects present land use, densities and zoning.

There has been significant growth across the city since 1980 but in this portion of the Fairpark Community it has generally remained as low density residential. When discussing residential land uses the master plan states that the city should, "encourage families to establish in the Northwest Community to stabilize the community population." As the community no longer has large tracts of developable property, infill development is generally the only tool available to accomplish that goal. The plan acknowledges that but it recommends that future land use arrangement should be encouraged that minimizes land use conflicts.

The Northwest Community Master Plan Update, discusses higher density housing in the community. It states that there is a concern about increases in density and that additional density should minimize the impact on the existing single family character of the community. The development as proposed with single family detached dwellings should have minimal impact on the existing character of the neighborhood but other permitted uses in medium density residential neighborhoods such as attached housing may have a significant larger impact. The

designation of medium density residential would imply that an area may be appropriate for a variety of housing types ranging from single family development on small lots, townhomes (called single family attached dwellings) and smaller apartments or condos. Throughout the Northwest Master Plan, the preservation of single-family neighborhoods is emphasized.

The development pattern of single-family detached dwellings is the largest land use in the Northwest Community and that is reflected in the master plan as well. Those areas that were labeled as medium density or high density were generally only used for existing developments with higher density located along Redwood Road in existing apartment complexes. The master plan raises concerns about those higher density developments and expresses reservations about further expansion of them.

Comprehensive Housing Policy

The City's Comprehensive Housing Policy was updated in 2013. The adopted Comprehensive Housing Policy contains policies that support the proposed development and others that do not. The following policies may support the proposed amendments:

- Promote a diverse and balanced community by ensuring that a wide range of housing types and choices exist for all income levels, age groups, and types of households.
- Develop new housing opportunities throughout the City.
- Ensure that affordable housing is available in all neighborhoods and not concentrated in a few areas of the city.
- Support reinvestment in existing urban and inner suburban areas.

These following policies may support the development as proposed. However, if the proposal were approved without some sort of limitation on the type of housing that could be built, but the policies may not support the proposal:ed amendments as the applicants would not be required to develop the property as they have proposed if the amendments are approved:

- Respect the character and charm of predominantly residential districts, including those with historic character and qualities, while also providing opportunities for the provision of local goods and services easily accessed by neighborhoods
- Encourage single-family infill housing, in single-family neighborhoods, to attract middle income families where appropriate.
- Ensure better compatibility with existing neighborhoods for new infill development.

Summary

Although new development and infill development is encouraged in all of the master planning documents that were reviewed, it is clear that the overarching theme from the documents is that these types of developments should be located and built in a manner that is compatible with the surrounding neighborhoods and their development type and scale.

The proposed development of single family detached dwellings on small lots would not be seen as incompatible, but the proposed development is only an idea of what may occur on the property. If the proposed

amendments are approved there would be no obligation by the applicant to construct a development as has been proposed unless there are some limitations on the approval. Any use that is listed as permitted in the approved zoning district could be approved.

Staff finds that the SR-3 zoning district is not compatible with surrounding neighborhoods as it allows for duplexes, single-family attached dwellings and single-family detached dwellings on lots as small as 2,000 square feet.

Zoning Map Amendment

As stated earlier, the property is located in the R-1/7000 Single Family Residential District. The only type of residential development allowed in the zone is single family detached dwellings on lots that are at least 7,000 square feet in size.

Section 21A.50.050B states: In making a decision to amend the zoning map, the city council should consider the following.

Standard 1: Whether a proposed map amendment is consistent with the purposes, goals, objectives, and policies of the city as stated through its various adopted planning documents;

Analysis: The applicants have requested these amendments as the Northwest Community Master Plan does not currently support the proposal to rezone the property.

The requested change to the SR-3 zoning district does increase the density allowance. The zoning district allows for single-family dwellings to be built on lots that are 2,000 square feet and would allow for a more intense development that may consist of duplexes and single-family attached dwellings. Infill development is seen as beneficial in adopted planning documents but it should only occur when it is compatible to the existing neighborhood development pattern.

The city's housing plan advocates for infill development and for growing the city's population in general. It encourages single family infill projects where property lies in an existing single family residential neighborhood. The development as proposed would meet that standard and be similar in scale to the existing neighborhood but there could be differences that may be viewed as significant. Building lots could be as small as 2,000 square feet which is a 5,000 square foot reduction from the current requirement of 7,000 square feet. It also allows 60% of the lot to be covered with buildings rather than 40%, a reduced rear yard setback of 15 feet rather than 25 feet and the required off street parking for the proposed zone is 1 car rather than 2.

The rezone of the property would allow for uses other than single-family dwellings, despite that theeven though the proposed development hasn't proposed other uses includes only single family, detached dwellings. The SR-3 zoning district is an increase in density that does not generally meet the policies of the master planning documents for this community as it could allow for a development at a scale and use that would not be consistent with the existing single-family development pattern as was discussed earlier in this report.

Finding: Staff finds that if the Planning Commission recommends to the City Council that the proposed amendment to the Northwest Community Master Plan as discussed earlier in this report is appropriate, then the proposed zoning map amendment meets this factor.

Standard 2: Whether a proposed map amendment furthers the specific purpose statements of the zoning ordinance;

Analysis: The property in question is currently located in the R-1/7000 Single Family Residential District and its purpose statement states:

"The purpose of the R-1/7,000 single-family residential district is to provide for conventional single-family residential neighborhoods with lots not less than seven thousand (7,000) square feet in size. This district is appropriate in areas of the city as identified in the applicable community master plan. Uses are intended to be compatible with the existing scale and intensity of the neighborhood. The standards for the district are intended to provide for safe and comfortable places to live and play, promote sustainable and compatible development patterns and to preserve the existing character of the neighborhood."

In order to compare the purpose statements of the current zoning designation with the proposed zoning designation the purpose statement for the SR-3 Special Development Pattern Residential District is below:

'The purpose of the SR-3 special development pattern residential district is to provide lot, bulk and use regulations, including a variety of housing types, in scale with the character of development located within the interior portions of city blocks. Uses are intended to be compatible with the existing scale, density and intensity of the neighborhood. The standards for the district are intended to provide for safe and comfortable places to live and play, promote sustainable and compatible development patterns and to preserve the existing character of the neighborhood. This is a medium density zoning district. Off site parking facilities in this district to supply required parking for new development may be approved as part of the conditional use process."

The SR-3 zoning district has typically been applied to inner court type of developments that occurred in the interior areas of the large blocks in the Central Community that were designated in the original pioneer era plats. The map below highlights all of the SR-3 zoned properties in the city. It is clear that the majority of SR-3 zoned properties are located in the historic neighborhoods of the city because of the development pattern located there.



The SR-3 zoning district has not generally been used as a development tool to increase density. The exceptions being two neighborhoods developed in the last 20 years. One in Glendale and another in the Guadalupe neighborhood wedged between 600 West and Interstate 15. In the case of the Guadalupe neighborhood it appears that this zoning designation was utilized as the property was very long and narrow and development may not have been able to occur without the ability to create lots less than 5,000 square feet in size, which is the smallest lot size in the single family zoning districts. The majority of the properties in the Glendale neighborhood developed under the SR-3 zoning standards are labeled as Medium/High Density Residential. This neighborhood was developed under the SR-3 zoning standards but did not develop lots as small as is proposed with the amendments currently in question. The average lot size is approximately 3,000 square feet. There are no SR-3 zoned properties in the Northwest Community.

The SR-3 zoning district has been designated as a medium density zoning district which does not meet the standards stated in the Northwest Community Future Land Use Map. with regard to this neighborhood as it allows for types of residential development that are not permitted. The purpose statement of the zoning district is clear with its emphasis on compatibility as it states in its purpose, *"compatible development patterns and to preserve the existing character of the neighborhood."* Utilizing the SR-3 zoning district would allow the applicants to construct a neighborhood that is not compatible with the existing character of the neighborhood.

Because of the size of the parcel, development could occur on the lot without amending the master plan or the zoning map. A Planned Development on a parcel that is approximately 400 feet to the west along 500 North has been approved recently utilizing the existing R-1/7000 zoning district and its density limitations. That parcel is similar in size at 1.17 acres which is only .10 acres larger than the property in question.

Below is a table that compares the development regulations in the Salt Lake City Zoning Ordinance for the existing zoning district and the proposed zoning district:

	R-1/7000 Zoning Ordinance	SR-3 Zoning Ordinance Requirements
	Requirements	1
Lot Area	7,000 square feet	1,500 square feet single- family attached; 2,000 square feet single-family detached; 3,000 square feet for two-family dwellings
Lot Width	50 feet	22 feet for single-family attached, 30 feet for single- family detached, 44 feet for two-family dwellings
Building Height	Maximum 28 feet	Maximum 28 feet
Yard Requirements	Front = 20 feet or street average Interior Sides = 10 feet and 6 feet Corner Side = 20 feet Rear = 25 feet	Front = block face average or 10 feet Corner Side = 10 feet Interior Side = 4 feet Rear= 20% of lot depth, not less than 15 feet, need not exceed 30 feet
Building Coverage	40% of lot area	60% of lot area
Parking	2 spaces per unit.	1 space per unit

Finding: Staff finds that the proposed amendment would not further the specific purpose statements of the zoning ordinance with regard to the R-1/7000 zoning district as the purpose statement does not advocate for residential development on smaller lots or that is not single-family detached.

Standard 3: The extent to which a proposed map amendment will affect adjacent properties;

Analysis: The standards of the zoning ordinance provide existing property owners, and potential or future property owners, and tenants a basic understanding of what type of land uses and what types of development may occur in the area.

This neighborhood is an established neighborhood with ais generally consistent history of a low density residential areauses. With the proposed amendments, applicants are seeking changes that would increase the allowable density on the property from 6 units to 23. Because portions of the lot would be required to construct streets, the maximum density of 23 units could not be obtained. The amendments would also allow for alternative residential uses such as duplexes and single-family attached dwellings that are currently not allowed.

The development pattern of the adjacent neighborhood to the south is one of large, narrow lots with single-family dwellings. Allowing significantly higher density could have a negative impact on those residents as there would be increased traffic, noise, etc. when comparing to what could be currently developed on the property. Because the proposed development includes only 12 lots any increase in traffic would be minimal and the Transportation Division indicated that the existing road network can accommodate the increase.

The most significant impact to adjacent properties is related to the scale of a development that could be constructed on the property which would mainly affect those properties located to the south along 500 North. Development would be allowed on lots as small as 2,000 square feet with setbacks that are less than what is currently allowed. The proposed amendment would allow development to occur much closer to existing homes, which changes the expectations of adjacent properties in regards to what could occur. These expectations, including how far away from their homes, what is adjacent to their side and rear yards, could negatively be impacted due to the proposal.

There is a small area that is located in the R-2 zoning district just to the north of the property in question. Duplexes have been developed on that parcel. Staff does not think that the R-2 parcels would be significantly harmed as the proposed amendments would be similar in their development potential though at a higher density.

Finding: Staff finds that there would be adverse impacts to some of the adjacent properties due to the allowed increase in density and the ability to construct buildings on a scale that does not fit within the development pattern of the existing neighborhood.

Standard 4: Whether a proposed map amendment is consistent with the purposes and provisions of any applicable overlay zoning districts which may impose additional standards.

Analysis: There are no overlay zones on the property

Finding: This standard is not applicable.

Standard 5: The adequacy of public facilities and services intended to serve the subject property, including, but not limited to, roadways, parks and recreational facilities, police and fire protection, schools, storm water drainage systems, water supplies, and wastewater and refuse collection.

Analysis: This application has been reviewed by all applicable City Divisions and their comments can be found in Attachment C. Comments from each indicate that they have no objection to the proposed zoning map amendment or master plan amendment. There are issues that the applicant will need to address prior to the issue of any building permits that may relate to the upgrade of existing service lines and/or infrastructure on site. All necessary fire, police and emergency services are within a reasonable distance.

Finding: Staff finds that the proposed amendment will have all necessary utility and public services necessary to accommodate the site.

Commission Options

If the Planning Commission chooses to recommend approval of the proposed amendments to the Northwest Community Master Plan and the Salt Lake City Zoning Map, the proposal would proceed in the approval process to the City Council for a final decision. If the Planning Commission chooses to not recommend approval, the applicant could still choose to proceed to the City Council for a final decision as the City Council is not required to follow the recommendation of the commission. In accordance with 21A.50.060 of the Salt Lake City Zoning Ordinance, after the final decision of the City Council, no application for a zoning map amendment will be considered by the City Council or the Planning Commission on this specific property for one year.

Potential Motions

Consistent with Staff Recommendation: Based on the findings listed in the staff report, testimony and plans presented, I move that the Planning Commission transmit a negative recommendation to the City Council relating to this request to amend the Salt Lake City Zoning Map from the R-1/7000 Single Family Residential zoning district to the SR-3 Special Development Patter Residential zoning district and not to amend the Northwest Community Future Land Use Map from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential on properties located at approximately 552 North 1500 West.

Not Consistent with Staff Recommendation: Based on the findings listed in the staff report, testimony and plans presented, I move that the Planning Commission transmit a positive recommendation to the City Council relating to this request to amend the Salt Lake City Zoning Map from the R-1/7000 Single Family Residential zoning district to the SR-3 Special Development Patter Residential zoning district and to amend the Northwest Community Future Land Use Map from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential on properties located at approximately 552 North 1500 West.

The Planning Commission shall make findings on the Zoning Map Amendment standards as listed below:

- 1. Whether a proposed map amendment is consistent with the purposes, goals, objectives, and policies of the City as stated through its various adopted planning documents;
- 2. Whether a proposed map amendment furthers the specific purpose statements of the zoning ordinance;
- 3. The extent to which a proposed map amendment will affect adjacent properties;
- 4. Whether a proposed map amendment is consistent with the purposes and provisions of any applicable overlay zoning districts which may impose additional standards; and
- 5. The adequacy of public facilities and services intended to serve the subject property, including but not limited to roadways, parks and recreational facilities, police and fire protection, schools, stormwater drainage systems, water supplies, and wastewater and refuse collection.

Attachment A: Proposed Site Plan



city



COTTAGES AT RIVERSIDE



PRIVATE STREET WI 1-SIDE PARKING



- 16' -

ALLEY

city

Attachment B Photographs





Attachment C Department Comments



PLNPCM2013-00057 & PLNPCM2012-00697 Clift Infill Map Amendments Division Comments 4 March 2013

Planning Division Community & Economic Development Department

Police Review No comments

Public Utilities—Justin Stoker (801)483-6786 No comments

Zoning Review—Larry Butcher(801)535-7968 No comments

Building–Kenneth Anderson (801)535-6624

No comments

Transportation Review–Barry Walsh (801)535-6630

Past review for PLNPCM2012-00697 and PLNPCM2013-00056 for proposed change from low density to medium and the proposal from R-1/7000 to SR-3 are the same impact issue for transportation, and presents no undue impact to the existing dead end 1500 West local roadway function.

Engineering Review- Scott Weiler (801)535-6159

No objection to the proposed master plan amendment. When a site plan is provided, an engineering review will be required.

Fire Review No comments

Attachment D Public Comments

SALT LAKE CITY PLANNING DIVISION OPEN HOUSE February 21, 2013 at 4:30 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.

Salt Lake City & County Building 451 S State Street, 1st Floor Hall SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111

HAVE YOUR Voice heard

Your input is important to us and can help shape the decisions related to these projects.

GETYOUR QUESTIONS ANSWERED

This is an opportunity for you to learn about proposed development projects as well as new regulations and planning policies that the Planning Division and other City Departments are working on.

CAN'T MAKE IT To the open house?

If you have questions about any of the items on the agenda but can't make it to the Open House, feel free to contact our staff anytime.

ON THE AGENDA

- Proposed Ordinance for Non-Residential and Multi-Family Recycling - Please contact Debbie Lyons, Recycling Program Manager, at 801-535-7795 or debbie.lyons@slcgov.com
- Amendment to the Planned Development Chapter of the Zoning Code to Encourage Affordable Housing - The amendment would allow developments that include affordable housing to exceed density limitations of underlying zoning districts. Staff contact is Elizabeth Buehler at 801-535-6313 or elizabeth.buehler@slcgov.com
- Amendment to the Northwest Community Master Plan The amendment proposes to change the low density residential to medium density residential at 552 North 1500 West. Staff contact is John Anderson at 801-535-7214 or john.anderson@slcgov.com
- Adoption of an Ordinance To allow the Community and Economic Development Department Director or the Planning Division Director the authority to administratively modify zoning requirements up to 10 percent when specific criteria have been met. Staff contact is Michael Maloy at 801-5357-7118 or michael.maloy@slcgov.com
- Proposed Modifications to the Nonconforming Use and Noncomplying Structures Regulations - The amendments are to incorporate language related to and consistent with other sections of the Zoning Ordinance, to clarify the existing regulations, and to ensure consistency with State Law. Staff Contact is Everett Joyce at 801-535-7930 or everett.joyce@slcgov.com

Salt Lake City Planning Division 451 S. State Street Room 406 PO Box 145480 Salt Lake City, UT 84114

SALT LAKE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

PETITION: PLNPCM2012-00697 & PLNPCM2013-00057: PROPOSAL TO AMEND THE NORTHWEST MASTER PLAN AND THE SALT LAKE CITY ZONING MAP ON PARCELS LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 552 NORTH 1500 WEST

OPEN HOUSE MEETING ROLL 21 FEBRUARY 2013

NAME: Deserve Rittmiller	NAME: Shauna Reak
PRINT NAME: 1536 W. 500N.	PRINT NAME Shaupa Peak
ADDRESS: SLC, WF 84116	ADDRESS: 15-36 11 500
ZIP CODE:	ZIP CODE: $BUILTO$
PETITION # OR SUBJECT:	PETITION # OR SUBJECT:
NAME: (AROL KALderson	NAME: Davis Pech
NAME: CAROL ANGERSON	PRINT NAME
ADDRESS: 1899 Wasatch Ils.	ADDRESS: 1530 W 500N1
ZIP CODE: \$2 (Ut 84/108	ZIP CODE: 84116
PETITION # OR	PETITION # OR
SUBJECT:	SUBJECT:
NAME: RONALD Anderson	NAME: MICHAEL MEPER
PRINT NAME: 1553 N 500 N	PRINT . NAME
ADDRESS: SLC, UT 84116	ADDRESS: 14590 500 No
ZIP CODE:	ZIP CODE: $SU//(C.$
PETITION # OR	PETITION # OR
SUBJECT:	SUBJECT:
NAME: Gavy HICKS	NAME: Ken Jenkins
PRINT NAME: 1560 W. 500 No.	PRINT 1559 W SOON
ADDRESS:	ADDRESS: 5 LC, VT & 4/16
ZIP CODE: guille	ZIP CODE:
PETITION	PETITION
# OR SUBJECT:	# OR SUBJECT:

SALT LAKE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

PETITION: PLNPCM2012-00697 & PLNPCM2013-00057: PROPOSAL TO AMEND THE NORTHWEST MASTER PLAN AND THE SALT LAKE CITY ZONING MAP ON PARCELS LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 552 NORTH 1500 WEST

OPEN HOUSE MEETING ROLL 21 FEBRUARY 2013

NAME: THERESA FALL	NAME: Colyman W.
PRINT NAME: 521N 1200W ADDRESS: 84116	ADDRESS:
ZIP CODE:	ZIP CODE:
PETITION # OR SUBJECT:	PETITION # OR SUBJECT:
NAME: Ann Pineda	NAME:
PRINT NAME: $304 N$, $1100 W$, ADDRESS:	PRINT NAME ADDRESS:
ZIP CODE: Zapoluto @ XMission	ZIP CODE:
PETITION COM	PETITION # OR
SUBJECT:	SUBJECT:
NAME: Steven K. Johnson	NAME:
PRINT NAME: SEIW 300 No	PRINT NAME
ADDRESS: SUIL	ADDRESS:
ZIP CODE:	ZIP CODE:
PETITION (21 ahou.cm	PETITION # OR
SUBJECT:	SUBJECT:
NAME:	NAME:
PRINT	PRINT
NAME:ADDRESS:	NAMEADDRESS:
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ZIP CODE:	ZIP CODE:
PETITION	PETITION
# OR SUBJECT:	# OR SUBJECT:

Fairpark Community Council Notes 28 February 2013

-There may be issues with no guest parking provided, insufficient off street parking.

- There is a demand for this type of housing especially with young professionals. This project would be successful.

- There are already similarly sized lots in the city—not a huge change.

- There are plenty of access points for the development.

- Infill development is a positive thing.

- People don't need to leave the neighborhood if there is development like this.

- Neighbors and property owners involved do not want this kind of development next to them.

- Will add too much traffic into the area.

- There is not sufficient access.

- This is too much density.

- Questions about the existing walkway to the elementary school.

- A rezone of the property doesn't guarantee what the final product will be.

- A rezone has a huge impact on the neighborhood.

- Lots less than 5,000 square feet is too small.

- There is a concern that a rezone doesn't guarantee any real commitment. The project could be sold to another developer.

- The project shown by the developer may not be the development constructed.

- There are already duplexes in the neighborhood.

April 25, 2013

John Anderson, Principal Planner Planning Division Community and Economic Development Salt Lake City Corporation

Re: Clifts Infill, application to amend Master Plan and Zoning Map Petition PLNPCM2012-00697

Dear Mr. Anderson

On Thursday, March 28, 2013, the Fairpark Community Council, at its regular monthly meeting, discussed the application to amend the Northwest Master Plan and Zoning Map to change the zoning designation of properties located in the proximity of 552 North 1500 West from R-1/7000 Single Family Residential to SR-3 Special Development Pattern Residential.

Notice of this item of discussion was included in a front page article in the Council's monthly newsletter, the Gazette, and mailed to all residents within the Community's boundaries on Friday, March 22, 2013, with delivery to most households on Saturday and Monday.

Approximately 30 Fairpark Community residents attended the meeting. At the discussion's conclusion, the Council voted, by raise of hands, 27 to 3 to express opposition to request for Amendment of the Master Plan and Zoning Map.

The reasons for opposition to the request to amend included, but were not limited to, the following:

1. For over 30 years, residents of the City's Northwest area, which encompasses the Fairpark Community, and City leaders have sought to protect the character of low density, single family residential neighborhoods from the incursion of business and commercial activities and higher density residential developments. This intent is reflected in the texts and maps of both the 1980 and 1995 versions of the Northwest Master Plan and the current Northwest Zoning Map. Approval of the request to amend the Master Plan and Zoning Map to allow a small-lot, higher density development in the interior of a block whose neighborhood is defined by older homes, a number of which are on especially large lots, would be contrary to more than three decades of community planning.

2. City Ordinance 21A.24.100 (A), the Purpose Statement concerning SR-3 districts, states that "Uses are intended to be compatible with the existing scale,

density and intensity of the neighborhood." The proposed project fails to meet this standard.

3. The City's longstanding commitment to protect the character of neighborhoods was confirmed in the recent **Community Preservation Plan**, adopted by the City in October 2012. This Planning Document stated, referring to the City's **Community Housing Plan**, that the City should "Respect the character and charm of predominantly residential districts, including those with historic character and qualities..." and "Require architectural designs that are contextually compatible with the surrounding structures and overall fabric of the neighborhood" and, finally, the City should "Ensure better compatibility with existing neighborhoods for new infill development." Amending the Master Plan and Zoning Map to allow higher density housing, as reflected in the design of the proposed project, fails to meet the standards of these Planning Documents.

4. It appears that the proposed development will control the only two existing access points into the block's interior: 1500 West and a narrow ally a few hundred feet east of 1500 West. As a result, properties to the west of the proposed project, whose owners have refused to be part of the project, will be "landlocked" and potentially hostage to the applicant in terms of future development. Creating an alternative access to these properties will require the demolition of a home and, possibly, other buildings on a lot that fronts 500 North to construct a private street – a prospect that diminishes the potential value of low-density development on these properties. As a result, expansion of the proposed project may be the most viable option for the future development of these properties. Approval of the applicant's current request possibly foreordains that the remaining inner block properties will have to be rezoned to higher density housing to be developed at all.

5. The loss of the existing characteristics of neighborhood will exact a financial toll on properties that abut the proposed project, with second-story windows looming over well-established backyards. The design of the proposed project is especially troublesome because of the lack of any buffer strip to protect adjoining residential yards from visual and noise intrusions.

6. And, finally, designating 1500 West, off of 600 North, as the primary access to interior block development will increase the risk faced by the 600 students of the Backman Elementary School. Vehicle speeds and volume at the point where 600 North curves into becoming 700 North already creates a dangerous situation for students crossing the street. The intersection at 600 North and 1500 West also serves as the only access for cars picking up students in the School's parking lot that runs parallel to 1500 West. Residents and visitor entering and leaving an interior-block housing development will only increase the risks faced by elementary-aged students.

It is likely that the interior of this block will be developed at some time. But such development should reflect the historical characteristics, including residential density, of the existing neighborhood, not harming the quality of life of current residents and the potential value of their properties.

Sincerely, A an Steven K. Johnson

Chair Fairpark Community Council

Fairpark Community Council

Clift Infill Master Plan and Zoning Map Amendments 24 October 2013

- Concerns about fire access
- The nearby Macland Subdivision was developed under current zoning, why not develop this in a similar manner.
- Concerns about compatibility.
- The lots are too small.
- As proposed it would destroy the character of the neighborhood.
- Would prefer larger homes on larger lots.
- Sometimes large lots become space for open storage and junk.
- Will the landscaping be sustainable? Have areas for gardening?
- These homes may become rentals, may increase crime and overburden already full schools.
- Does not think that it will increase crime and that it would be an improvement. The earlier community council vote was not unanimous. Would appreciate the high quality development as proposed.
- The existing properties in the neighborhood are unique due to size.
- Elderly people would be able to stay in their homes with a new neighborhood.
- Development will hurt the quality of life.
- Use the master plan and zoning that is currently in place.
- Raised concerns about access to adjacent properties.
- Changing the zoning on this property may make it easier for other properties nearby to change as well.
- How could this be phased?
- Once the zoning change anything can be built that fits the zoning requirements.
- Traffic will be too busy.

From:	Christina Stanley [christinacounts@gmail.com]
Sent:	Thursday, February 07, 2013 4:26 PM
To:	Anderson, John
Subject:	Re: Proposed Master Plan and Zoning Map Amendments

Thank you for your response. I am glad that apartment buildings are not the plan (as well as businesses) because of its proximity to the elementary school. I have heard that agricultural zoning might become more lenient in the city, so hearing that large plots will be subdivided makes me wonder if there are alternate uses that would enhance the community.

Where single-family units are the plan, I at least hope the developers would use home designs that blend with the area. Otherwise, I don't see any concerns. The meeting won't be a high priority for me in all honesty, but I do appreciate this kind of update.

Thanks so much,

Christina

On Wed, Feb 6, 2013 at 3:28 PM, Anderson, John <<u>John.Anderson@slcgov.com</u>> wrote:

Christina,

The proposal actually involves multiple property owners. The property owners mostly live along 500 North and are proposing these changes only to the rear portions of their lots. The potential developers do not currently own the property. The property owners are aware of the proposed master plan and zoning map amendments and have agreed to be involved.

From discussions with the potential developers, they are seeking to build single-family residential dwellings. Currently, that would be allowed but the minimum lot size is 7,000 square feet. They are seeking a zoning change that would allow development on smaller lots. The applicants will be available for questions at the Planning Division Open House on 21 February 2013. They have also indicated that they may have a proposed site plan/layout available as well.

If you cannot come to the open house I would be happy to take any comments that you have through email. City staff have not yet prepared a recommendation to the Planning Commission as public comment is essential to determining a recommendation.

Please let me know if you have any further questions or comments.

JOHN ANDERSON

Principal Planner

PLANNING DIVISION

COMMUNITY and ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

SALT LAKE CITY CORPORATION

TEL 801-535-7214

FAX 801-535-6174

WWW.SLCGOV.COM

From: Christina Stanley [mailto:christinacounts@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 06, 2013 3:11 PM
To: Anderson, John; Rose Park CC Chair
Subject: Re: Proposed Master Plan and Zoning Map Amendments

Hi John,

Who owns the land currently and what kind of housing specifically is being proposed?

Thanks,

Christina Stanley Rose Park resident

On Wed, Feb 6, 2013 at 3:01 PM, Brad Bartholomew <<u>bbart76@gmail.com</u>> wrote:

This might be of interest to some.

Brad Bartholomew bbart76@gmail.com

----- Forwarded message -----From: Anderson, John <<u>John.Anderson@slcgov.com</u>> Date: Tue, Feb 5, 2013 at 12:04 PM

2

Subject: Proposed Master Plan and Zoning Map Amendments

To: Rose Park CC Chair <<u>bbart76@gmail.com</u>>, Jordan Meadows CC Chair <<u>myral@networldmail.com</u>>, Fairpark CC Chair <<u>john_go_st@netzero.net</u>>

Good morning, I'm writing this email to inform you of a proposed project located within the boundaries of the Fairpark Community Council but located near the border with the Jordan Meadows and Rose Park Community Councils as well. Because of the location of the proposal, we will place this project on a Planning Division Open House Agenda rather than visit each community council meeting separately. The meeting will be held on Thursday, 21 February 2013 on the 1st floor of the City & County Building from 4:30PM to 6:00PM.

This project is a Master Plan Amendment and a Zoning Map Amendment. The applicants are requesting to amend the master plan from low density residential to medium density residential and the zoning map from R-1/7000 Single Family Residential District to SR-3 Special Development Pattern Residential. The project is proposed at approximately 552 North 1500 West. I have included a map of the approximate boundaries which includes approximately 2.5 acres. The map can be found below this message.

Please feel free to share this information with others in your respective community councils. I will also be mailing a notice to all property owners within 300 feet of the proposed project. *Staff does not yet have a recommendation.* We are holding this open house to receive community feedback prior to making any staff recommendations.

If you have any questions for me please feel free to contact me via email or phone.

From: Sent: To: Subject: Chris Isbell [cisbell@supplementalhealthcare.com] Thursday, March 28, 2013 2:12 PM Anderson, John Clift Properties In-Fill

Dear Mr. Anderson,

As a member of the Fairpark Community, I am very against the proposed lot size variance being considered for the Clift property in fill at the end of 1500 West. I don't mind building in the area, but I am against reducing the current lot size down from 7000 sq ft to 2000 sq ft. It is just too much of a variance. A 2000 sq ft lot is not big enough for a respectable single family dwelling. It leaves no room for children to play or for families to grow a garden, much less even a tree. The larger lot size only allows the developer to cram as many houses in that small area as possible. I don't believe these small homes on the extremely small lots will retain their property value over time and will eventually bring down property values of surrounding homes and the neighborhood in general.

Thank you for your consideration of not allowing the lot size variance.

Chris Isbell 534 N. Colorado 84116

Chris Isbell Field Payroll Manager Supplemental Health Care

When quality, service and performance matter, health care professionals turn to Supplemental Health Care first.

Phone: Fax: 📢

cisbell@supplementalhealthcare.com http://www.supplementalhealthcare.com http://www.patientcareforum.com

1640 W. Redstone Center Dr. Suite 200 Park City, UT 84098

Disclaimer:

This e-mail message contains information from the office of Supplemental Health Care and may be confidential proprietary information and/or work product doctrine, and which is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 11 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this message, and of any attachments, is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail and purge the original message.

From: Sent: To: Subject: zapoluto@xmission.com Tuesday, March 12, 2013 8:51 PM Anderson, John Fairpark zoning question

Hi John,

I am opposing changes in the Master Plan and the zoning here in the Fairpark area. In spite of our diversity, our community has the integrity of a relatively shared lifestyle, influenced greatly by our similarly-sized lots with similarly-sized homes.

People have come here looking for the styles and more sturdy building of older homes to restore or renovate them. Others will continue to find them here if incongruous developments are not allowed to isolate these homes from each other, leaving them scattered around in a context of this new housing that is densely-packed and built for a much different lifestyle.

My neighbors and I want to see our area regarded as a neighborhood of growing value to the city, while remaining a place for people who work with their hands and who need some usable outdoor space of their own. Whether we are tradespeople or skilled craftsmen who need workshops, or beekeepers, or urban farmers with chickens and gardens, most of us use our space productively in ways celebrated each year here at the State Fairpark.

No new development can recreate what we already have here and what many of us have been working to preserve and enhance. More people who need this kind of home-based working space will discover our neighborhood if we can protect it long enough. Please help us maintain the long-term value of this zoning.

1

Ann Pineda 304 North 1100 West SLC, UT 84116

From: Sent: To: Subject: Maria Garciaz [maria@nwsaltlake.org] Thursday, March 21, 2013 4:17 PM Anderson, John RE: NeighborWorks Salt Lake comments on 1500 West In-Fill Development near Backman Elementary

Yes, thank you.

María Garcíaz

Maria Garciaz | Executive Director | NeighborWorks Salt Lake (2011) Executive Director | NeighborWorks Salt Lake (2011) Executive Director | NeighborWorks Salt Lake (2011) Salt Lake City, UT 84116 Visit us on the web: <u>http://www.nwsaltlake.org</u>



From: Anderson, John [mailto:John.Anderson@slcgov.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 21, 2013 4:07 PM
To: Maria Garciaz
Subject: Potential SPAM:RE: NeighborWorks Salt Lake comments on 1500 West In-Fill Development near Backman Elementary

Maria,

I want to thank you for your comments about the proposed project. I will certainly add your comments to those already received and I will share them with the Planning Commission and the City Council prior to any future public hearing. If you would like I could also add you on my email list for the project to alert you of any changes. Please let me know if you that is something you would like.

JOHN ANDERSON Principal Planner

PLANNING DIVISION COMMUNITY and ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SALT LAKE CITY CORPORATION

TEL 801-535-7214 FAX 801-535-6174

WWW.SLCGOV.COM

From: Maria Garciaz [mailto:maria@nwsaltlake.org]
Sent: Thursday, March 21, 2013 3:40 PM
To: Anderson, John
Subject: NeighborWorks Salt Lake comments on 1500 West In-Fill Development near Backman Elementary

Hi John,

I met with City Block group regarding their potential development near Backman Elementary. We reviewed their plans and opportunities

to work together to increase the net affordable housing in SLC's Westside. I reviewed their development with NeighborWorks SL board of director's and

they support the development as an opportunity to increase net housing for the area and revitalize this vacant land. In addition, NWSL can partner with

them for 1st and 2nd mortgage financing along with homebuyer education for potential homebuyers.

Please accept this email in support of their request for rezone for the infill subdivision on 500 N and 1500 W. The application is for an amendment to the Master Plan from low density single-family to medium-density single-family AND an amendment to the zoning map from SR 1-7000 (single-family, 7,000 sq. ft. lot minimum) to SR-3 (interior block subdivision).

Please call if you have any questions. Thank you.

María

Wards Sandaz | Executive Director | NeighborWorks Salt Lake Wards Sandaz Office |622 West 500 North |Salt Lake City, UT 84116 Visit us on the web: <u>http://www.nwsaltlake.org</u>



This message and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, use, or distribution of the information included in this message and any attachments is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by reply e-mail and immediately and permanently delete this message and any attachments. Thank you.
Anderson, John

From: Sent: To: Subject: Gary Hicks [garylhicks@gmail.com] Thursday, February 21, 2013 1:58 PM Anderson, John Amendment to Northwest Community Master Plan

John:

My name is Gary L Hicks and I live at 1560 West 500 North. At one time I thought that I would not be against people building up the street from me, but after seeing the great number of small units that they want I have decided it wouldn't be good for the neighborhood. Even though they would be small single dwellings I think that they would be much like the apartments east of the school and bring with them problems. We have enough units in our area.

I have talked with my neighbors: Paul Bouck,Luke Harkey, Kenneth Jenkins, Sara Potter, Carlos Franco, Kelly Crandall, David Peck, Elaine Holman, and Mike Pieper. They all feel liked it would changed the neighborhood too much and not for the good of those who live in the larger single dwellings. We are against the plan being changed. Keep it at low density, please.

1

Some of us will be at the meeting to represent the others. Yours, Gary L Hicks grant or garylhicks@gmail.com

Anderson, John

From:	Carol Anderson [carolkanderson@gmail.com]
Sent:	Friday, February 08, 2013 6:59 PM
To:	Anderson, John
Subject:	552 North 1500 West

Landlords in the area do not maintain healthy environments, i.e. garbage is often left out, scattered, not collected. Because the area is suitable for for reduced rents, renters appear to be unemployed and engage in activities that have been reported to the police. There has been some success, but the poor and elderly that live in the area are not able to act in their behalf and those who do work are gone most of the day. Because of language difficulties, some of the renters keep a low profile and who rents and who visits, cannot be determined as turn over is constant. Low income, no resources for fixing properties, low rents, drifters, and an area already with large complexes bringing in increased populations, is it fair to keep increasing rental units in an area neglected and run over with the problems of the poor, domestic violence, and landlords that ignore good landlord rules and put people at risk. The area has often been used for the benefit of some one else; jam packed and an already compromised area with investors who could care less. I lived in the area for years, left when financially I could because of the increasing problems and risks. But I left behind those I have known for years and now an area for a quick dollar as real estate is for sale, cheap. There are no advocates for those residents who voices are not heard and live in misery and fear. Those who want a profit and never live where they promote and leave, please think of those who are the residents who struggle to keep up, ignored and pushed aside, they are the ones who have no "real neighbors" Carol Anderson

1

3.25-13

552N 1500W

No changes to the current Northwest Master Plan planning or zoning. Our residential neighborhood needs to remain as R-1/7000.

Viola Fi Gonzales 1450 W, 500 N

Max H. alires 1450W. 500N

ajsTOROVIE 325 Nr CHTHRRIAR 55

Benneth 545N catherine st

Pedro Sarchs 551 n. catherine st. NI. 1441W.

Open House

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Jal and Goldie Fracock Name: --

Address: 323 N 1100 What Lake lety Ut 84116 We are NOT in pavar of rezoning **COMMENTS:** anywear in the Fair Park Community Cramming people togeather with This is a Great place where children can No elbou spaceplay in cheir own yarde - Plant thuir mall Orchards Victory gordens, have a s. ver gurdime etc. étc. etc. Bierdes having Noom far a workshop and raise chickens- 1. We own I lots and enjoy having toom for our large barnily get togeathers. Please don't take that away from The people who want i neul Searnel

Open House

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Name: ARIJAUS GRANDJEAN

Address: 334 N. 1100 W.

IAM Opposing the Rezoning of the **COMMENTS:** FAIr PARK community. I like having the same Kind of housing that is Already here. Lots must be big enoughforsingle houses, not Townhomes. The houses should have yards and drive ways and places for children to play. I would like to Keep with the masterplan that is in place. It Halucon / Speciales PROTECTS OUR COMMUNITY.

Open House

21 February 2013

Protects What we have

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Name: Address: **COMMENTS:** We bought our home in 1964 here in Fairpark, becauser the area, We raised four children here. and we have a big yeard and so do my neighbury I like being able to do thougs centride Willout encroaching on my neighbors and they don't encroach on me. I like my space and my quiet neighborhood, Please Keeps the Master Plan and Baning that Mary Moreland 3-6-13

Open House

on

ben

Τø

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Name: albot itra N. 1100 W. Address: 3 10 **COMMENTS:** ere Tlo) W mony the ð Revalvormon Cha MA me walk word ann m alm ahlor love Space ma lQ eu A wever nina Way We neighborhood Ka Ne iswle Amilies ON nigh Ø people who gumes æ a) CA rep litte community hat m son or reponsibil Inch turnover nousing m NAU The hig N There 10 usuall Lolli decar ater an a regultant would N Sa NO лυC H app Om won The They lep min are ad regulation In

NM-e L) àn a ar generations many aforementioned Soming is a State. 11.e Carrent α proplems

Open House

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Name: Kittmi ONB

Address: 84116 1536 SLC. -500 North

COMMENTS: We are already overcrowded in the school as it Stands. Single family homes, are only single family on the 3 only. Loe already have multi-families living in lease paper Single tamity domiciles. that brings up the up-tick in hroughout Crime ne Rose Park district. That also leads to 80% of Salt Lake Cities drug issues 1 ISING Ua of illegal aliens. These same illegal alters are are a resu changing single family into multi-family domicites. the ones As an owner of on these properties, I have already been finding that have come from crimes, committed in the avea, in my artitacts back yard. With an increase of rental properties these crimes will We bought this property 20 years ago mainly for we have. Our opinions on that privacy have not changed. privacy

Open House

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Name: Mike Christensen

Address: 475 N Redwood Pd Unit 50, SCC UT 84116

COMMENTS:

I live about one and a half blocks to the west of the proposed development. I fally support the proposal to amend the master plan and the Zoning map.

Open House

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com 1559W 500No gn Kins Name: Anderson Address: SOINO **COMMENTS:** North West Center (multe Surpose) draws populator - Lendentia homas, Mana are rentals & fand todo do 100 land lood Saws & Perkaps legal. We know The Existing P aldressed Servence We are an older shood with low encome families property Because enfection property for rent only there

Open House

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Name:

Address: 1530 W 500 N.

it alone. No need for Multi Units or small size lots. We bought our home perause ÐX land involved not to be duveloped. by some one who bought houses in Area. Only wanting to build more housing & never live in the area

Open House

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

MICHAEL CTAMMY F Name:

Address:

1458 W 500/6.

NORTBAGOR WILL XOT ALLOW AT WO ADEQUATE COMPALSA REFI WOULD NOT BE ATE VALUE & DECRE BY PROPESS OF - 1

Open House

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Name: evera

Address: 521 N 1200W

COMMENTS:

I have spoken W/ Gabe Epperson and I am not Convinced that this reconing is beneficial to our neighbor-look + feel. Many houses in our Community are historic thouses on large lots - Building new houses in record medium density lots ARZ NOT in line with utat is there. We are concerned in the ingress / regress from the development; the # of parking spaces for Fesidential cars and guests. We do NOT want the "DAYBREAK" housing model as part of our neighborhood - houses need to face existing & streets. What the DAYBREAK model does is creat "mini" communities - Not LIKE ANYTHING in our neighborhood. I moved from Sandy - Garbett infested mini-communities - to Fairpark because of the historic heritage community THAP NEEDS To BE PRESERVED + Not

Open House

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Blaine Holman Name:

Address:

1520 West 500 North

No to the proposed amendment

Open House

21 February 2013

ON

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Name: ENISE BREMS Address: W SC N 1300 COMMENTS: proposal

Open House

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Name: Sutten Buns ARTHUR BREMS

Address:

North 1300 West SIC Utah 84116 533

10 on proposal

Open House

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Name: sch m

Address: 1469 W 500 No

No topropose to AMEND !!

Open House

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Name:

Name: Angela Aldrich -Address: 410 North 1400 West SLC UT 84116 COMMENTS: Do Not change

Open House

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Name:

Address: 1400 410 N

COMMENTS: any change in zoning

Open House

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Name:

Address: 1493 Waln

COMMENTS: MO ON REZONING

Open House

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Name: M ์ ๓ ฦ ฦฦ

Address: ~

1506 Walpht Dr

To Rie Lowing **COMMENTS:**

Open House

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Name:

Address:

She 1/ ALNUT P.

U

REZONING \sim 202

Open House

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Name: Charlie Andrew

Address: 84116 1300 W. SLC

No to NWadment, to Moster Plan.

Open House

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Name: Veronica Valdez Address: 358 N. 1300 W.; SLC . UT 84116

No to NW Amendment to Master Plan

Open House

21 February 2013

MAIL COMMENTS TO: JOHN ANDERSON, PRINCIPLE PLANNER 451 S. STATE STREET, ROOM 406 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 OR SEND E-MAIL TO: john.anderson@slcgov.com

Name: Non Address: Ut. 84/16 SLO 438 11001 N. on Rapo

Attachment E Additional Information

City

January 31, 2013

Salt Lake City Planning and Zoning 451 South State Street Salt Lake City UT 84114

Re: Master Plan Amendment; Zone Change

To Whom It May Concern:

The following is a request for a Master Plan Amendment and Zone Change for approximately three (3) acres located at 500 North from approximately 1450 W through 1550 W.

1. Project Description

a. A Statement declaring the purpose for the amendment-

We propose to amend the Zoning Map for the subject area from R1-7000 to SR-3. The purpose for the amendment is to provide housing opportunities which reflect the demographics within the subject area. This request meets housing needs for the growing region-wide demand for smaller, single-family homes with efficient and attractive design. The amendment utilizes under-used and vacant lands, creating necessary size appropriate lots for related housing.

b. A description of the proposed use of the property being rezoned-

We are requesting an amendment to the current Zoning Map from R1-7000 to SR-3. We are proposing to build approximately 25-30 cottage-style, single-family homes on roughly 3 acres of land. Most of the homes are two-stories, with 3 bedrooms, 2 baths and an attached two-car garage. The majority of the homes are alley-fed from the rear. None of the homes have garage doors which face the street. The lots will range from approximately 2000 square feet to 2500 square feet. There are 2 points of ingress and egress for the subject area; providing excellent vehicular, emergency and pedestrian access.

c. List the reasons why the present zoning may not be appropriate for the area The current zoning for the subject area is R1-7000. There are approximately 7 individual home sites and 8 parcels. The square footage for each parcel is approximately or exceeds 20,000 square feet. This leaves the majority of the lands in the rear of these properties un-used or under-utilized. By granting the requested amendment to the Zoning Map, under-utilized lands will provide home sites for a wide range of potential homeowners. Direct access to the adjacent elementary school, Jordan Parkway, nearby parks, airport, places of employment and worship, make this an ideal site for the application of the SR-3 zoning.

ci BLOCK

d. Is the request amending the Zoning Map? Yes. If so, please list the parcel numbers to be changed. See Below

e. Is the request amending the text of the Zoning Ordinance? No

Thank you for your attention to this matter. We look forward to this quality development.

Sincerely,

Gabe Epperson Project Manager

parcel #s: 0834230003 0834230004 0834230010 0834230011 0834230013 0834230023 0834230025 0834230026 0834230027 0834230028

To Whom It May Concern at Salt Lake City Planning;

My name is ___Edward Clift ______. I own property located at ________. 1516 West 500 North ________ in Salt Lake City, UT. It is my understanding that a Master Plan Amendment has been submitted to Salt Lake City which includes my property and several surrounding properties and that there is also a request to re-zone these properties concurrently with the Master Plan Amendment. I also understand that the re-zone request entails changing the current zoning from R-1-7000 to S-R-3.

I have already signed a form stating my support for the Master Plan Amendment. In addition, I am supportive of the re-zone request and would like to include my property.

Rehuard M. Clift

Sign name

Edward Clift

Print name

Dec. 28, 2012

Date